

# Rehabilitation Guidelines for Conservative Management of Spondylolysis/Spondylolisthesis in the Young Athlete

These guidelines are intended to guide clinicians through the conservative course for spondylosis/spondylolisthesis. This protocol is time based (dependent on tissue healing) as well as criterion based. Specific intervention should be based on the needs of the individual and should consider exam findings and clinical decision making. The timeframes for expected outcomes contained within this guideline may vary based on physician preference, additional procedures performed, and/or complications. If a clinician requires assistance in the progression of a patient, they should consult with the referring provider.

The interventions included within this protocol are not intended to be an inclusive list of exercises. Therapeutic interventions should be included and modified based on the progress of the patient and under the discretion of the clinician.

#### Considerations for the pars interarticularis stress fracture

Many different factors influence the spondylolysis/spondylolisthesis rehabilitation outcomes, including the grade of the defect/stress fracture. It is recommended that the clinician collaborates closely with the referring physician regarding progression through the phases of the program.

PHASE I: ACTIVE REST (0-6 WEEKS), 2-4 PT visits

	E REST (0-6 WEEKS), 2-4 PT visits
Rehabilitation	Protect injured joint
Goals	Control pain/inflammation
	Participate safely in activities of daily living
	Address mobility/flexibility limitations
	Promote hip and core muscle strength and stability
	Maintain cardiovascular conditioning
Bracing/	Cessation of athletic activity is recommended for at least 3 months
Precautions	Bracing may be recommended by physician to limit extension and rotation
	Precautions: avoid lumbar extension
Intervention	Education
	Patient education: <u>posture</u> , <u>body mechanics</u> , <u>activity modification</u> , bracing
	autono da adama ( <u>postare) per menanto ( activity meanteaten</u> ) orașing
	Pain Management
	Modalities: heat/ice
	Mobility/Flexibility
	Manual therapy
	<ul> <li>Soft tissue mobilization: paraspinals, quadratus lumborum, gluteals, piriformis</li> </ul>
	Hip/thoracic spine joint mobilizations
	Thoracic spine
	o Side-lying thoracic rotation with hips/knees at 90-90
	o Supine thoracic extension with towel roll/foam roller
	o Quadruped/modified plantigrade thoracic flexion/extension in neutral lumbar spine
	Upper and lower extremity
	o Standing stride doorway pectoral stretching
	o Supine hip flexor stretching
	o Supine hamstring stretching
	o <u>Supine piriformis stretching</u>

	Stability/Strength
	<ul> <li>Local core muscle control (TA/MF) in low load, spine-supported positions</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Hook-lying isometric TA contraction</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Hook-lying isometric TA contraction with march</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Hook-lying isometric TA contraction with heel slides</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Hook-lying isometric TA contraction with alternate UE elevation</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Side-lying isometric multifidus contraction</li> </ul>
	Hip strengthening
	o <u>Hook-lying gluteal sets</u>
	o <u>Side-lying clam shell</u>
	<ul> <li>Hooklying bridging progression with TA engaged/ neutral spine)</li> </ul>
	Cardio
	Walking on treadmill
	Stationary bicycle
	Nu-Step machine
Criteria to	Pain/inflammation controlled
Progress	Full lumbar ROM (except extension)

## PHASE II: EARLY STRENGTHENING (6-9 WEEKS), 4-6 PT visits

I HASE II. EARL	LI SIKENGIHENING (0-9 WEEKS), 4-0 FI VISILS
Rehabilitation	Monitor pain/inflammation
Goals	Address mobility/flexibility limitations
	Improve trunk and hip muscle strength and endurance
	Progress cardiovascular endurance
Bracing/	Cessation of athletic activity is recommended for at least 3 months
Precautions	Bracing may be discontinued if no pain with ADL
Additional	Stability/Strength
Interventions	Neutral trunk stabilization
Continue with	o Front plank stabilization
Phase I	o Side plank stabilization
Interventions	o Supine dead bug
	o Hook-lying curl up
	<ul> <li>Hooklying bridging progression with TA engaged/ neutral spine)</li> </ul>
	o Quadruped bird dog with variations
	Hip strengthening
	o Side-lying gluteus medius strengthening
	o Prone hip extensor strengthening
	Closed chain strengthening
	o <u>Standing side-step band walk</u>
	<ul> <li>Standing isometric squat with band proximal to knee</li> </ul>
	o <u>Standing hip external rotation</u>
	Cardio
	Progress treadmill walking: time/speed
	Progress stationary bicycle: cadence/resistance
	Elliptical machine
Criteria to	Full spinal ROM
Progress	Pain-free repeated lumbar flexion/extension x 10 reps without aberrant motion
0	No pain without brace for all activities, except sport
	Normal multifidus (MT) contraction
	o Prone MT lift test
	Transverse abdominis (TA) activation is good without compensatory strategies
	o Prone pressure biofeedback test >10 seconds with 4 mm Hg drop

## PHASE III: ADVANCED STRENGTHENING (9-12 WEEKS), 4-6 PT visits

Rehabilitation	Address mobility/flexibility limitations
Goals	<ul> <li>Progress trunk and lower quarter strength and endurance</li> </ul>
	Demonstrate lumbopelvic control with closed chain movement patterns
	Progress cardiovascular endurance
Bracing/	Cessation of athletic activity is recommended for at least 3 months
Precautions	Bracing may be discontinued if no pain with ADL
Additional	Stability/Strength
Interventions	Anti-rotation trunk exercises
Continue with	Supine curl up
Phase I/II	Standing squat progression
Interventions	Standing dead lift progression
	Standing overhead press
	Standing pull downs
	Standing chest press
	Standing loaded carry
	<u>building fouded earry</u>
	Neuromuscular re-education
	Proprioceptive training on dynamic surfaces
	Spiral line chopping/lifting PNF diagonals
	Begin plyometric exercise program
	Cardio
	Begin return to run program
Criteria to	Full uncompensated trunk active ROM in all planes
Progress	Pain-free end range of all lumbar motions
	Good local/global muscle performance
	o <u>Prone DL raise &gt;30 seconds</u>
	o <u>Supine DL lowering &lt;70 degrees</u>
	No pain with initial phases of return to running program
	Minimal to no pain or difficulty with integrated movements with load
	0% score on Micheli Functional Scale, Parts B and C

# PHASE IV: RETURN TO SPORT (12 WEEKS+)

Rehabilitation	Maximize sport specific strength, endurance, and motor control, increasing intensity, volume,
Goals	speed
	Demonstrate lumbopelvic control with dynamic sports-specific activities
	Establish proper training routine and independent management plan
Additional	Progress plyometric exercise program
Interventions	Progress return to run program
Continue with	Medicine ball toss progression
Phase I/II/III	Reactive and perturbation training with dual task challenges
Interventions	
	Education
	Monitor graded return to sport practice and competition
	Patient/family/coach communication and education
Criteria to	Proper mechanics during sports specific movement with full volume/intensity
Discharge	Compete at pre-injury performance level without pain
	0% score on Micheli Functional Scale

# 06/2024

Contact	Please email MGHSportsPhysicalTherapy@partners.org with questions specific to this protocol

#### References:

- 1. Brumitt J. The bunkie test: descriptive data for a novel test of core muscular endurance. Rehabil Res Pract. 2015;2015:780127. doi: 10.1155/2015/780127. Epub 2015 Feb 11. PMID: 25852955; PMCID: PMC4339703.
- 2. d'Hemecourt PA, Zurakowski D, d'Hemecourt CA, Curtis C, Ugrinow V, Deriu L, Micheli LJ. Validation of a new instrument for evaluating low back pain in the young athlete. Clin J Sport Med. 2012 May;22(3):244-8. doi: 10.1097/JSM.0b013e318249a3ce. PMID: 22382433.
- 3. Hicks GE, Fritz JM, Delitto A, Mishock J. Interrater reliability of clinical examination measures for identification of lumbar segmental instability. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2003 Dec;84(12):1858-64. doi: 10.1016/s0003-9993(03)00365-4. PMID: 14669195.
- 4. Grazina R, Andrade R, Santos FL, Marinhas J, Pereira R, Bastos R, Espregueira-Mendes J. Return to play after conservative and surgical treatment in athletes with spondylolysis: A systematic review. Phys Ther Sport. 2019 May;37:34-43. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2019.02.005. Epub 2019 Feb 19. PMID: 30826586.
- 5. Selhorst M, Allen M, McHugh R, MacDonald J. Rehabilitation Considerations for Spondylolysis in the Youth Athlete. Int J Sports Phys Ther. 2020 Apr;15(2):287-300. PMID: 32269862; PMCID: PMC7134351.
- 6. Selhorst M, Rodenberg R, Padgett N, Fischer A, Ravindran R, MacDonald J. An Alternative Model of Care for the Treatment of Adolescent Athletes with Extension-Based Low Back Pain: A Pilot Study. Int J Sports Phys Ther. 2021 Feb 1;16(1):227-235. doi: 10.26603/001c.18715. PMID: 33604151; PMCID: PMC7872438.
- 7. Saur PM, Ensink FB, Frese K, Seeger D, Hildebrandt J. Lumbar range of motion: reliability and validity of the inclinometer technique in the clinical measurement of trunk flexibility. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 1996 Jun 1;21(11):1332-8. doi: 10.1097/00007632-199606010-00011. PMID: 8725925.
- 8. Wu HH, Brown K, Flores M, Cazzulino A, Swarup I. Diagnosis and Management of Spondylolysis and Spondylolisthesis in Children. JBJS Rev. 2022 Mar 1;10(3). doi: 10.2106/JBJS.RVW.21.00176. PMID: 35611834.